APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Ryland Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Crystal Lake **FILE NUMBER:** LRC-2005-13799 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MCHENRY. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MCHENRY, HA 255. ☑ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MCHENRY, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: _ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005, 2004, 2001 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY... \boxtimes Site Visit Conducted on: П Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Referencing the Wetland Delineation Report dated January 17, 2007, Wetlands A and C are tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction. Wetland B is considered isolated and not regulated by the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 20, 2005, prepared by Phoenix Environmental, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: Date: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago

PROJECT LOCATION INF State: County: Center coordinates Approximate size o Name of waterway SITE CONDITIONS:	of site by l	atitude & erty (inclu		dinal coor	dinates:	Illino McH	17.75	5	
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	5 ac 25-50 a	c > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake						T		1661	
River		T							
Stream			 	Ti		<u> </u>			
Dry Wash		Ī				H			1 -
Mudflat				TÕ-	一百	IFI			
Sandflat		T	ī	Ī	Ħ	T I			177
Wetlands	\boxtimes			Ī					一一
Slough		TF	Ħ	T			H		
Prairie pothole		一百一			一片	H H			Fi
Wet meadow	T		Ħ-	n		- iii -		-	 - -
Playa lake	TH	 - 	THE T	一百	一片				 -
Vernal pool	H	H	一一	- 11				-	15
Natural pond		Ħ	H	16	一片			-	17
Other water (identify type)									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource a Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :		type of iso	plated, no	n-navigable If Known Yes	, intra-sta	If Unknown Use Best Pr Predicted	ofessional Judgn	nent to Not	Able To Mak
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	or birds prot	ected by				to Occur	Occur	De	etermination
Is or would be used as habitat be cross state lines?									
Is or would be used as habitat fo									
Is used to irrigate crops sold in									
Check appropriate boxes that I non-navigable, intra-state aqual			tor applic	ability of th	ie Migrato	ry Bird Rule to	apply to onsite,	non-jurisdic 	tional, isolate
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	ON:			·]	Preliminary [☐ Or Appro	ved ⊠	

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Crystal Lake Pl	ROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Crystal Lake
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-9 PR	OJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: 🗌 Office 🖾 Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information There are no waters on the There are non-jurisdictions There are waters of the Un	project site. al waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	·
☐ The presence of waters which interstate or foreign commerce waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 3: ☐ The presence of interstate waters to the presence of a tributary to the presence of wetlands adjact except for those wetlands adjact the presence of an isolated water the presence of the prese	ters of the United States present on the project site. are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport to including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable 28.3(a)(1)) ers (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) cent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, cent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ater (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, trairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Information Reviewed	
 □ U. S. Geological Survey □ USDA National Resource □ U. S. Geological Survey □ U. S. Geological Survey □ U. S. Geological Survey □ Aerial Photographs (Name 	Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY
as prepared by Baxter and Woodman, In	xes checked above): Referencing the Wetland Delineation Report dated December 2006 nc., Wetlands A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L and M are tributary to Crystal Creek, vigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction. Wetland N is considered artment of the Army.
Ordinary High Water Mark in clear, natural line impre the presence of litter and changes in the character wetland boundary	dicated by: ssed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation debris shelving
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration Area under consideration	e of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to appro	ove jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
This office does not see form.	wetland delineation report dated December 2006, prepared by Baxter and Woodman, Inc
Recommended by:	Date: 3/26/07
	2/2-2-3
Approved by:	Date: 3/26/07 Date: 3/20/07

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and eriteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

REGULATORY PROJECT M	IANAGE	R: Ka	te Bliss	1	Dat	e: 3/26/07			
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER			PLETE		the office project site	(Y/N) Y (Y/N)	Date: Date: 8/22/06	į	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	RMATI	ON:							
State:						Illinoi			
County: Center coordinates of	faita by l	atituda &	longitu	dinal acord	linataer	McHe	nry 30759376,-88.	228564592/	Ĺ
Approximate size of s						5 mile		3363043634	* **
Name of waterway or			uing up	nands of m	acresj.	Fox	3		
SITE CONDITIONS:									
m	Latin	1	2.5	[- 10 .	10.05	25.50	- 50		7,,
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash		200							
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough				<u> </u>		$\perp \! \! \perp \! \! \perp$			
Prairie pothole		14				-			
Wet meadow	Щ_		Щ	ᆜᆜ	- 님	\perp			 -
Playa lake	Ц	H	4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				14
Vernal pool		 - - 				<u> </u>	- -		
Natural pond	Щ	H	Н_	- 	_				
Other water (identify type)									
Check appropriate boxes that bes	t describe	type of isol	ated, no	n-navigable,	intra-state v	vater present	and best estim	ate for size o	f non-
jurisdictional aquatic resource are		.,		,					
			_	If Known		TC Y I			
Migratory Bird Dula Factors!	Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :					If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :							ICSSIUMAI JUURI		Able To Mak
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected	d to Not	
			en en	BUTE COL	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	D	etermination
Is or would be used as habitat for			Mile of D	Yes		Predicted	Not Expected		etermination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by			No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	D	etermination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by o	birds prot	ected by		BUTE COL	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	D	etermination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for	birds prot other migr	ected by atory birds ed species?			No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	D	etermination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treatics? Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for Is or would be used as habitat for Is used to irrigate crops sold in int	birds prot other migr endangere erstate co	ected by atory birds ed species? mmerce?	that		No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur		
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by ocross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for Is used to irrigate crops sold in int Theck appropriate boxes that bes	birds prot other migr endangere erstate co of describe	atory birds ed species? mmerce? potential for	that		No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur		
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by ocross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for Is used to irrigate crops sold in int Theck appropriate boxes that bes	birds prot other migr endangere erstate co of describe	atory birds ed species? mmerce? potential for	that		No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur		
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by ocross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for Is used to irrigate crops sold in int Check appropriate boxes that bes non-navigable, intra-state aquatic	birds prot other migr endangere erstate co it describe resource	atory birds ed species? mmerce? potential for	that		No D D D E Migratory	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	Do	
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for Is used to irrigate crops sold in int ¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes non-navigable, intra-state aquatic	birds prot other migr endangere erstate co it describe resource	atory birds ed species? mmerce? potential for	that		No D D D E Migratory	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	Do	

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Kilkenny Real Estate PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Harvard
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-23495 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HARVARD. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HARVARD, HA 496. ☑ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HARVARD, 1970. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005, 2004, 2001 ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: 4/18/06 ☐ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Referencing the Wetland Delineation Report dated September 14, 2006 as prepared by Manhard Consulting, Ltd., updated by Hey and Associates, Inc. on February 2, 2007, Wetlands A, B, C, K, H and N are tributary to a navigable waterway and jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act. Wetland A drains to the west through a series of wetlands to Lawrence Creek, which is tributary to the Rock River, a navigable waterway. Wetlands B, C, and K are tributary to Mokeler Creek, which also drains to the Rock River. Wetlands D, E, F, G, I, J, L and M are isolated and not regulated by the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated September 14, 2006, prepared by Manhard Consulting (updated February 2, 2007 by Hey and Associates, Inc). ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date: 3 2le 07
Recommended by: Date: 3 216 07 Approved by: Date: 3 /2 5/6 >

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

	COU	INII V.	U.S. AK	MII CORI	S OF EN	JINEERS				
DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-2										
REGULATORY PROJECT M	IANAGE	R: K	ate Bliss	S	Da	ate: 3/26/07				
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATIO	ON COM	PLETE		n the office	, , ,				
At the project site Y (Y/N) Date: 4/18/06 PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS: At the project site Y (Y/N) Date: 4/18/06 Illinois McHenry none (historical) 169 Kishwaukee										
Type of aquatic resource1	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake								Icci		
River										
Stream										
Dry Wash										
Mudflat										
Sandflat										
Wetlands		\boxtimes								
Slough										
Prairie pothole										
Wet meadow										
Playa lake										
Vernal pool										
Natural pond										
Other water (identify type) 1 Check appropriate boxes that bes		type of iso	lated no	n navigable	intra state	Water present	and best estime	to for size of	Inon	
jurisdictional aquatic resource are				— —	, inti a-state	water present	————			
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :			-	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Prof	essional Judgn	nent		
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	to Not	Able To Make termination	
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?		•							-	
Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines?	other migr	atory bird	s that							
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?								
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in										
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			for appli	cability of th	e Migratory	y Bird Rule to a	pply to onsite,	non-jurisdic	tional, isolated,	
TYPE OF DETERMINATION					Pı	reliminary [Or Appro	ved 🛚		

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Kilkenny Real Estate PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Harvard
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-23495 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HARVARD. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HARVARD, HA 496. ☑ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HARVARD, 1970. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☐ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005, 2004, 2001 ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY ☑ Site Visit Conducted on: 4/18/06 ☐ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Referencing the Wetland Delineation Report dated September 14 2006 as prepared by Manhard Consulting, Ltd., updated by Hey and Associates, Inc. on February 2, 2007, Wetlands A, B, C, K and H are tributary to a navigable waterway and jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act. Wetland A drains to the west through a series of wetlands to Lawrence Creek, which is tributary to the Rock River, a navigable waterway. Wetlands B, C, and K are tributary to Mokeler Creek, which also drains to the Rock River. Wetlands D, E, F, G, I, J, L and M are isolated and not regulated by the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ☑ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☑ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated Sept. 14, 2006, prepared by Manhard Consulting (updated February 2, 2007 by Hey and Associates, Inc. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Recommended by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS											
DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-2	3495										
REGULATORY PROJECT M	IANAGE	R: Ka	ate Bliss		D	ate: 3/19/07					
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATIO	ON COM	PLETED		the office						
At the project siteY (Y/N) Date: 4/18/06 PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS: At the project siteY (Y/N) Date: 4/18/06 Illinois McHenry none (historical) 169 Kishwaukee											
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown		
Lake											
River											
Stream											
Dry Wash											
Mudflat											
Sandflat											
Wetlands		\boxtimes									
Slough											
Prairie pothole											
Wet meadow											
Playa lake											
Vernal pool											
Natural pond											
Other water (identify type)											
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, non	-navigable, 	intra-state 	water present	and best estimat	e for size of	non- 		
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	P			If Known		If Unknown Use Best Pro	ofessional Judgme	ent			
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected (ble To Make ermination		
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by									
Is or would be used as habitat by coross state lines?	ther migr	atory bird	s that	☒							
Is or would be used as habitat for											
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int											
¹Check appropriate boxes that bes			or applica	bility of th	e Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, n	on-jurisdicti	ional, isolated,		
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic	resource a	irea.									
TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved											

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Kamp Builders PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: SW of Old Plank Road and Wolf Road in Mokena, Will County, Illinois/Hickory Creek FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-174 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MANHATTAN, 211. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MANHATTAN, 1990. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands A, B & C are all connected and drain off-site through a tributary of Hickory Creek. Hickory Creek flows to the Des Plaines River, which is navigable below the Hoffman Dam in Riverside, Illinois
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7). ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated February 6, 2007, prepared by JFNew. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Date: 6 MHR 07
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

^{&#}x27;Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-1										
REGULATORY PROJECT M	1ANAGE	R: M	like Mac	chalek	ľ	Date: 16 Mar 0	7			
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATI	ON COM	PLETE		In the office e project si	ce Y (Y/N) ite (Y/N	Date: 16 M	1ar 07		
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	RMATI	ON:								
State:						Illino	ois			
County:						Will	7.2607.5107.6	00000000		
Center coordinates o							71369715/-87.8	5906898297		
Approximate size of s Name of waterway or			ւսւոց ար	orands &	in acres):	25 Ac	ory Creek			
SITE CONDITIONS:	watersu	ieu.				HICK	ory Creek			
SHE CONDITIONS.										
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 a	10-25	ac 25-50 a	c > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake										
River										
Stream										
Dry Wash		<u> </u>			<u> </u>					
Mudflat				<u> </u>					1	
Sandflat			<u> </u>				14			_
Wetlands	\square	11		14	- -		 			
Slough				14			- 		+	
Prairie pothole	 - 	⊢⊢		<u> </u>	- - 				+	
Wet meadow	 - 	-	H				- - 			
Playa lake Vernal pool	H	++-	뉴		-++	-				
Natural pond	 	H	H	$\dashv \dashv$			- -		+#-	
Other water (identify type)		+=		ᆉ	 		\dashv		+=	
Farmed wetland and man-					-	لسا				
made pond in upland soils.										
Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigabl	e, intra-stat	e water present	and best estima	te for size of	non-	
		_								
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:				It k	(nown		If Unknown			
				Yes	No	Predicted	Use Best Profess Not Expected		Able To Mak	
				1 63	110	to Occur	Occur	70000	termination	
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by					\boxtimes			
Is or would be used as habitat by c cross state lines?	other migr	atory bird:	s that							
Is or would be used as habitat for							Ø			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int			·						Const. Contac.	
Check appropriate boxes that bes non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			or applic	cability of I	the Migrator	ry Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, i	non-jurisdici 	nonal, isolate	:a,
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	N;				P	reliminary [Or Appro	ved 🖂		
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL I										
rationale used to determine N.							ation or inters	tate comme	erce	
connections; and paragraph 4	 site inf 	ormation	on wate	ers of the	U.S. occuri	ring onsite):				

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 90 Acre Property Northwest Of IL-394 And Steger Road In Steger, Cook County, Illinois (Section 35, T35N, R14E) FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-36 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: October 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetlands A, C, F and Farmed Area 2, as referenced in your delineation report, are under the jurisdiction of this office because they are hydrologically connected to a navigable water. Wetlands B and D are considered isolated and are therefore not regulated by this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation ☐ the presence of litter and debris ☐ shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated November 2006, prepared by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago

FILE NUMBER:

REGULATORY PROJECT M	MANAGE	R: Pa	ul Leffle	r	Da	te:				
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATI	ON COM	PLETEI		the office roject site	(Y/N) (Y)N)	Date: Date:			
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	RMATI	ON:		000 22 0 5	J	()				
State:						Illinois				
County:						Cook				
Center coordinates o	f site by 1	atitude &	longitud	linal coordi	nates:	41.4738	323 -87.58200	07		
Approximate size of	site/prop	erty (inclu	iding upl	ands & in a	icres):	90				
Name of waterway o	r watersh	ed:				Little C	alumet River			
SITE CONDITIONS:										
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 a	c 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake										
River										
Stream										
Dry Wash								V-T		
Mudflat								_		
Sandflat										
Wetlands										
Slough										
Prairie pothole										
Wet meadow										
Playa lake										
Vernal pool										
Natural pond										
Other water (identify type)										
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, non	ı-navigable, i	ntra-state	water present a	nd best estimat	e for size of	non-	
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:				If Known		If Unknown				
						Use Best Profe	essional Judgme	ent		
				Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected t		ble To Make	
			_			to Occur	Occur		erminatio <u>n</u>	
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by				\boxtimes				
Is or would be used as habitat by	other migr	atory hird	s that							
cross state lines?	other migr	atory bird.	, that	_ '			_			
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?						\boxtimes		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in						\boxtimes				
Check appropriate boxes that be		The same of the sa	or applica	ability of the	Migratory	Bird Rule to ap	oply to onsite, n	on-jurisdicti	ional, isolated,	
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic	resource a	irea.		-						
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	N:				Pre	eliminary 🗌	Or Approv	ed 🛚		
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL	INFORM	IATION S	SUPPOR	TING NJD	(e.g., nar	agraph 1 – sit	e conditions:	paragranh	s 2-3 –	
rationale used to determine N										
connections; and paragraph 4										
, ba. ag. ab.,										

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Mike O'Leary PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Marengo FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-63 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹), (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MARENGO SOUTH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MARENGO SOUTH, HA 463. USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: MARENGO SOUTH, 1968. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2005, 2004, 2002, 2001 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: November 1, 2007 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Referencing the Wetland Delineation Report dated January 22, 2007 as prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc., Wetland 1, 5 and 7 are tributary to the Kishwaukee River. The Kishwaukee River is tributary to a navigable waterway, the Rock River, which is under Department of the Army jurisdiction. Wetlands 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8 are isolated and not regulated by the Department of the Army. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 22, 2007, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland bounded. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

Recommended by:

Approved by:

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

REGULATORY PROJECT M	ANAGEI	R: Ka	te Bliss		Date:	3/5/07					
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERM			PLETED:	2.1. 0.	ne office oject site Y	(Y/N) (Y/N) D	Date: ate: 11/1/06				
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	RMATIO	N:									
State:						Illinois					
County: McHenry											
Center coordinates of	site by la	titude &	longitudi	nal coordin	ates:	42.2322	706991,-88.	5844583779			
Approximate size of si						139	water (S. A) How Death (S. A)				
Name of waterway or		• •	8 1			Kishwai	ıkee				
SITE CONDITIONS:											
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown		
Lake											
River											
Stream		<u> </u>	П	П							

Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:	If Know	'n	If Unknown Use Best Pro	If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination		
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?							
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					\boxtimes		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		\square					
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl	icability of	the Migrat	ory Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,		
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.				260 90 507	**		

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-63

Dry Wash
Mudflat
Sandflat
Wetlands
Slough
Prairie pothole
Wet meadow
Playa lake
Vernal pool
Natural pond

Other water (identify type)

Preliminary Or Approved

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Engineering Enterprises, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Liberty Street north of New York Ave in Aurora, DuPage County, Illinois / Waubansee Creek
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-55 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA NORTH. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: AURORA NORTH, HA 70. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH, 1993. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY □ Site Visit Conducted on: □ Other information: December 5, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report by Raisanen & Associates, Inc.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject wetland is a depressional feature located at a watershed break, that has no drainage feature, and therefore is non-jurisdictional.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago											
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-5											
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Mike Machalek Date: 05 Mar 07											
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office Y (Y/N) Date: 05 Mar 07 At the project site (Y/N) Date:											
PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County: Center coordinates o Approximate size of s Name of waterway of SITE CONDITIONS:	f site by la site/prope	atitude & erty (inclu		dinal coor	dinates:		Illinois DuPag 41.762 14 Acr	s e 589/-88.2556	54		
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake											
River											
Stream											
Dry Wash											
Mudflat											
Sandflat											
Wetlands							\Box				
Slough					一百		n				
Prairie pothole	Ħ	Ħ-	Ħ	一一	一市		Ħ	 			
Wet meadow	 	177	H	一一	- H		H	15 -			
Playa lake	 		H	-	ᅟᅟᅟᅳ		Ħ				
Vernal pool	H	H	17	ᅥᆏ	<u> </u>		H			 	
Natural pond	H	一一	1==	- 1	- 1∺-		Ħ				
Other water (identify type)	H	Ħ	H	76			Ħ			 	
Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigable	e, intra-state	e wate	r present 2	and best estima	ate for size (of non-	
					-						
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :		-		If Known		100	Inknown Best Prof	essional Judgn	nent		
				Yes	No	Pre	edicted Occur	Not Expected Occur	I to Not	Able To Make	
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prote	ected by							×		
Is or would be used as habitat by coross state lines?	ther migr	atory birds	that								
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?						\boxtimes	\neg		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int	erstate cor	nmerce?		\exists	 	1 17					
¹Check appropriate boxes that bes			or applic	ability of th	ne Migrator	y Bire	Rule to a	pply to onsite,		ctional, isolated,	
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic	resource a	rea.									
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	N:				p	relim	inary 🔲	Or Appro	ved 🗵		
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL	INFORM	ATION S	UPPOI	RTING NJ	D (e.g., pa	ragra	aph 1 – si	te conditions	; paragrap	ohs 2-3 –	

rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce

connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Zaremba Group, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: South of Route 6 and west of Cedar Road in New Lenox Township, Will County, Illinois / Hickory Creek Watershed FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1271 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MOKENA, 204. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MOKENA, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 01 March 07 Other information: October 30, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report by EnCAP, Inc.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): All three wetlands are isolated depressions or farm tile blowouts; and therefore are non-jurisdictional.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: S Date: Date: ZO MAR 07
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1271

REGULATORY PROJECT N	IANAGE	R: M	like Mad	chalek	Da	ate: 20 Marc	h 07		
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATIO	ON COM	PLETE		In the office e project sit		Date: 20 M		
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	ORMATIO	ON:		710	o project sit	Illino			
County:						Will			
Center coordinates of	f site by I	atitude &	longitu	dinal coo	rdinates:	41.54	141305564/-87.9	729783052	
Approximate size of						160 A	Acres		
Name of waterway o	r watersh	ed:				Hick	ory Creek		
SITE CONDITIONS:							•		
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 a	c > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	X	1 🛅		n					
Slough	TÄ	1 🗂 📑		TH		一百			Ħ
Prairie pothole		17	17	一百					ň
Wet meadow		1 = -	IFI	T			<u> </u>		Ħ
Playa lake		17	t Fi						H
Vernal pool			Ħ	T 25					
Natural pond		1 =	Ħ	一					Π —
Other water (identify type)									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource ar		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigabl	e, intra-state	water presen	t and best estimat	e for size of n	on-
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:				lf K	(nown		If Unki	nown	
							Use Best Professi		
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur		ole To Make rmination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by							
Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines?	other migr 	atory bird	s that						
Is or would be used as habitat for									
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in									
Check appropriate boxes that be non-navigable, intra-state aquation			for applic	cability of t	he Migratory	Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, in	on-jurisdictio	onal, isolated,
TYPE OF DETERMINATIO	N:				Pr	eliminary [Or Approv	ed 🛚	
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL									

connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Curt Hurst PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane County

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1133 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELBURN, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GENEVA, 1948 Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):1939, 2002, 2005 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: March 13, 2007 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): An excavated pond and an excavated ditch exist on the property in upland soils, and are not subject to Department of the Army regulations. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Date: Approved by: __

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1	133								
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Kimberly Fisher Date: 3/15/2007									
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERM	MINATI	ON COM	PLETE		n the office	, ,	Date: 3/13/200	7	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	RMATIC	ON:		THE CITY	projecton	(1/11)	3, 13, 200		
State:						Illinoi	s		
County:		n 5 wa 20 may 200				Kane	TOTAL ATT HARLAND SERVICE TRANSPORT		
Center coordinates of							504, -88.37753		
Approximate size of s			ding up	olands & in	acres):	6.5	-		
Name of waterway or SITE CONDITIONS:	watersn	ea:				Lower	rox		
SITE CONDITIONS:									
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ас	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 a	ас 25-50 ас	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole		14							
Wet meadow				<u> </u>					
Playa lake	Ц	 	- -				14		
Vernal pool		┼ Ё	- -						
Natural pond Other water (identify type)	<u> </u>	- -	H						
Excavated Pond									
Excavated 1 ond									
¹Check appropriate boxes that bes		type of isol	lated, no	n-navigable	, intra-state	water present	and best estima	te for size of	non-
jurisdictional aquatic resource are	a.								
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Known		If Unknown Use Best Pro	fessional Judgm	ent	
				Yes	No	Predieted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	the same of the sa	Able To Make termination
Is or would be used as habitat for l Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by							
Is or would be used as habitat by o cross state lines?	ther migr	atory birds	that						
Is or would be used as habitat for							\boxtimes		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int	erstate co	mmerce?			\boxtimes				
Check appropriate boxes that bes	t describe	potential fo	or applie	cability of th	e Migratory	Bird Rule to a	apply to onsite, a	non-jurisdict	ional, isolated,

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

Preliminary Or Approved

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Swift Steel, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 133 Swift Road, Addison, DuPage County, Illinois / East Branch DuPage River
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-158 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: January 23, 2007 Wetland Delineation Report by EnCAP, Inc.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject wetland appears as a depressional wetland on all maps and other resources, with no visible surface outlet to a water of the U.S., and therefore is isolated and non-jurisdictional.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by: Date: Date:
Approved by: Date: Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-1	58								
REGULATORY PROJECT N	IANAGE	R: M	ike Ma	chalek	Ε	ate: 09 March	07		
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER			PLETE		n the offic project si	ce Y (Y/N) ite (Y/N	Date: 09 Mar	ch 07	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	RMATIC	ON:				Illinoi	ie		
County:						DuPag			
Center coordinates o	f site by la	atitude &	longitu	idinal coor	dinates:		91955044/-88.041	9935015	
Approximate size of						5 Acre	es		
Name of waterway or						East E	Branch DuPage Ri	ver	
SITE CONDITIONS:									
					- 1				
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	inear eet	Unknown
Lake									
River	H	Ħ		THE T				_	
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond			Ш.				_		<u> </u>
Other water (identify type)									
Check appropriate boxes that bes		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigable.	intra-stat	e water present	and best estimate f	or size of n	on-
jurisdictional aquatic resource are	a								
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:				If Known		If Unknown			
migratory bird reactors.				HILIOWII		ANAL SEE CONTROLOGIA POSSON	fessional Judgment		
				Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	V. 1-62-2-300000	ole To Make
						to Occur	Occur		rmination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prote	ected by							
Is or would be used as habitat by o	ther migr	atory birds	that						
cross state lines?			_						
Is or would be used as habitat for			_	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int Check appropriate boxes that bes			or appli	cability of th	A Migrator	Pula to	apply to onsite pon	iurisdictic	nal isolated
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			ог арри	Capilly of th	e wiigi ato	y bird Kule to	apply to onsite, non	-jurisuiciic	mai, isolateu,
			_						
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	٧:				F	reliminary [Or Approved		
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL	NFORM	ATION S	UPPO	RTING N.I	D (e.g., n:	aragraph 1 – s	ite conditions: na	ragranhs	2-3 -
rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):									

...

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Providence Management & Development PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North of Route 38 and east of Bryan Street in Elmhurst, DuPage County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-138 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HINSDALE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HINSDALE, HA 86. ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HINSDALE, 1993.
U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ______. U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY... Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: January 19, 2007 Wetland Delineation Report by V3. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject wetland extends off-site by there is ultimately no outlet to any surface water of the U.S., and therefore is isolated and non-jurisdictional. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving other changes in the character of soil wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Date: 09 MAR OF Recommended by: ____ Approved by: Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach duncs, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-138				
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Mik	e Machalek	Date:	09 March 07	
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPI		the office Y	(/	Date: 09 March 07 Date:
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:				
State:			Illinois	
County:			DuPage	
Center coordinates of site by latitude & lo	ongitudinal coord	inates:	41.86235	24985/-87.9394785074
Approximate size of site/property (including	ing uplands & in	acres):	4 Acres	
Name of waterway or watershed:			Salt Creel	<
SITE CONDITIONS:				

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool				Ħ					
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
	_								
¹ Check appropriate boxes that I jurisdictional aquatic resource		type of iso	lated, non-	navigable, ir	tra-state wat	er present an	d best estima	ate for size of	`non-

Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:	If Known		If Unknown			
			Use Best Pro	fessional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected to	Not Able To Make	
			to Occur	Occur	Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by						
Migratory Bird Treaties?						
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that			\boxtimes			
cross state lines?						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?						
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?						
Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appli	cability of th	he Migratory	Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,	
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.						

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved 🛛

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph I – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: McNaughton Development, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: SW of 97 th and Jackson St. in Burr Ridge, DuPage County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-137 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SAG BRIDGE. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SAG BRIDGE, HA 149. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SAG BRIDGE, 1993. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY □ Site Visit Conducted on: □ Other information: October 6, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report by V3
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject wetland was created by water run-off, and has no visible outlet to any other water of the U.S., and therefore is isolated and non-jurisdictional.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-											
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Mike Machalek Date: 09 March 07											
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office Y (Y/N) Date: 09 March 07 At the project site (Y/N) Date: PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:											
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS: Illinois DuPage 41.7122715044/-87.9433115044 5 Acres Des Plaines River											
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 2	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown		
Lake											
River		Ħ	Ħ			一百					
Stream	T	İΠ						,			
Dry Wash	ΙΠ		Ħ								
Mudflat	ΤĦ			ī			Th		Ti Ti		
Sandflat		П	<u> </u>								
Wetlands		Ħ	H	16			16				
Slough		Ħ	Ħ		Th						
Prairie pothole	H	n	Ħ				1 H				
Wet meadow	$+ \exists -$		Ħ		$\dashv \vdash$	급			H		
Playa lake	H	H	П	TH-	$\dashv \exists \neg$						
Vernal pool	H	H	H								
Natural pond	+-	H	H		$\dashv \exists$		ᅥ片		H		
Other water (identify type)	+	H		+	$\dashv \vdash$		+		H		
Other water (identity type)		🗀									
	ă ,										
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource ar		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigable	e, intra-state	water present	and best estimat	te for size of	non-		
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:				If Known		If Unknown Use Best Pro	fessional Judgm	ent			
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	to Not A	ble To Make ermination		
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by					⊠				
Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines?	other migr	atory birds	that			\boxtimes					
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?					\boxtimes				
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in							Ø				
Check appropriate boxes that be non-navigable, intra-state aquation	st describe	potential f	or appli	cability of th	ne Migratory	Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, r	non-jurisdict	onal, isolated,		

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce

connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ☒

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Elmhurst Memorial Healthcare PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North of Rt. 38 and west of York Rd in Elmhurst, DuPage County, Illinois / Salt Creek
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-134 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HINSDALE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HINSDALE, HA 86. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HINSDALE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: July 7, 2006 V3 Wetland Delineation Report
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject wetland drains off-site to the west into a wetland that was previously determined by our office to be isolated; and therefore non-jurisdictional.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-1	34										
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Mike Machalek Date: 09 March 07											
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATIO	ON COM	PLETE		In the offi e project s	ce Y (Y/N) ite (Y/N	Date: 09 N	March 07			
PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County: Center coordinates of Approximate size of s Name of waterway of SITE CONDITIONS:	f site by I site/prope	atitude & erty (inclu		ıdinal coo	rdinates:	[llino DuPa	ge 39294985/-87. cres	9356745192			
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	5 ac 25-50 a	c > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown		
Lake	П		П								
River		H		15-	- 声	— 					
Stream	H	H	H			- -	- 				
Dry Wash		Ħ	H	H							
Mudflat	H	+=	H						H		
Sandflat		H	H	ᅥ∺╴		— H	 		H		
Wetlands		H	H		$\dashv \exists$		 		H		
Slough		++	H		$\dashv \exists$	- -	ᆂ		H		
Prairie pothole	H	H	H						├─ -		
Wet meadow	H	H	 			⊢⊢			 		
Playa lake		 	片片				⊢⊢		 		
		 					<u> </u>				
Vernal pool	-	⊢⊢							 		
Natural pond		14	H						↓		
Other water (identify type)											
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigabl	e, intra-sta	te water present	and best estima	ate for size of	non-		
Migratory Bird Rule Factors':				If Knowr	1	If Unknown		70.000 A. A.			
				3/	NO		ofessional Judgr		ble To Make		
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur		ermination		
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by					⊠		Crimiation		
Is or would be used as habitat by	ther migr	atory birds	that				\boxtimes				
cross state lines?				W	500-00						
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?					\boxtimes				
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int											
Check appropriate boxes that bes			or appli	cability of t	he Migrato	ry Bird Rule to	apply to onsite,	non-jurisdict	ional, isolated,		
non-navigable, intra-state aquatic	resource a	irea.		_							
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	N:				1	Preliminary [Or Appro	ved 🛚			
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL	INFORM	ATION S	UPPO	RTING N	JD (e.g., n	aragraph 1 - s	site conditions	; paragraph	s 2-3 –		
rationale used to determine N.											

connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Tinley Park PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 179th Street And 84th Avenue In Tinley Park Cook County, Illinois (Section 35, T36N, R12E)
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2004-12644 (Formerly 200400261) PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
 U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 2, as identified in your December 15, 2003 delineation report prepared by Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd., does not have an identifiable connection to a navigable water. Therefore, as determined by our April 12, 2004 determination, the wetland is considered isolated and a Department of the Army permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act is not required
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Percommended by:
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Date: 3/8/2007

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2004-12644 (Formerly 200400261)

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Paul Leffler

PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATIO	ON COM	PLETE		n the office		Date: 3/8/.	2007	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	DRMATIC	N.		At the	project site	(Y/N)	Date:		
State:	/1X V1/X 1 1X	JIV.				Illinois			
County:						Cook			
Center coordinates of	f site by I	atitude &	longitu	udinal coor	dinates:	41.5671	42		
Approximate size of			_			-87.819			
Name of waterway o			iding u	pianus & n	acres).	Des Pla			
SITE CONDITIONS:	· watersii	·u·				203114	ines		
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake		П		$\neg \neg$				ieei	
River	Ti -	ħ	17			- -	15-		
Stream	1 1	i Fi	16			The second	15		15 -
Dry Wash	+ $=$	 	╁┼		- -	H	18		
Mudflat	H	1-1-1	 	- - - - - - - - - - 			H		18
Sandflat		+=	+=-	- - - - - - - - - - 	- - - - - - - - - 	18	1 H		+=-
Wetlands	H			$\dashv \vdash$	ᅥ片		18	-	
Slough	+=	H	1	-		+=	12		+
Prairie pothole	H		╁∺╴	ᅥ片	$\dashv \exists -$	-			
Wet meadow		-	++	᠆	-H		+=		+=
Playa lake	+= -	H	++	- -	$\dashv \exists \neg$	+H	+		+=-
Vernal pool	++-	╁┼	+#-		-H		+=-		+H
Natural pond	+H-	+-	+#-		-H $-$	$\dashv \vdash \vdash$			
Other water (identify type)		-	╁┼						+
Other water (identity type)			🗀	🗀			ш		
Check appropriate boxes that be	et desaribe	type of ice	lated no	on novigable	intro state v	votor precent o	nd bost ostima	to for size of	
jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	iateu, no	on-navigable	, mira-state v	water present a	na best estina	ite ioi size oi	11011-
jurisaictional acquarte resource are	ca.				-				
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:				If Known		If Unknown			
						Use Best Profe	ssional Judgn	nent_	
				Yes	No	Predicted	Not Expected		Able To Make
						to Occur	Occur	Do	etermination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by							
Is or would be used as habitat by	other migr	atory bird	sthat			\boxtimes			
cross state lines?		1991							
Is or would be used as habitat for							<u> </u>		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in					1 1	D: 15 ()			
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			or appli	cability of th	ne Migratory	Bird Rule to ap	oply to onsite,	non-jurisdic	tional, isolated.
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	N:				Pre	eliminary 🔲	Or Appro	ved 🖂	
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):									

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: DuPage Habitat for Humanity PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: SE of Sherman Street and Pomeroy Street in West Chicago, DuPage County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-93 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WEST CHICAGO. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: WEST CHICAGO, HA 202. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WEST CHICAGO, 1993. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The subject 0.05 acre wetland located on the property has inlets, but no visible outlets, and therefore is an isolated depression.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ☑ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☑ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-9											
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Mike Machalek Date: 08 March 07											
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office Y (Y/N) Date: 08 March 07 At the project site (Y/N) Date:											
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: SITE CONDITIONS: Illinois DuPage 41.8755094956/-88.2107985044 1.5 Acres Kress Creek											
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 a	c 10-25	5 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake			\Box								
River	H	+=	H	十二		_	H			+	
Stream	+=	+#		++	-HH		H			+	
Dry Wash	+ = -	-	 					\dashv		H	
Mudflat	H-	H				-	 			H	
		+	 				<u> </u>			H	
Sandflat		14-	 				<u> </u>			닏	
Wetlands		┼ └		_			Ц	$\bot \bot $		14	
Slough											
Prairie pothole											
Wet meadow											
Playa lake											
Vernal pool	T	TĒ	Ħ				ī				
Natural pond	 	╁∺┄┄	Ħ	15			Ħ	Ti I		16	
Other water (identify type)	Ħ		 	- -	H		Ħ			18	
Cheek appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigabl	le, intra-sta	te water	present	and best estimat	e for size of	non-	
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:				If Knowi	1		nknown Best Pro	fessional Judgme	ent		
				Yes	No		dicted	Not Expected		ble To Make	
				547 6535	TO LYBERT	to O	ccur	Occur		ermination	
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prot	ected by									
Is or would be used as habitat by o	other migr	atory birds	s that					\boxtimes			
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?									
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int								\boxtimes			
Check appropriate boxes that bes non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			or applic	cability of	the Migrato	ry Bird	Rule to	apply to onsite, n	on-jurisdict	ional, isolated,	
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	N:				I	Prelimi	nary [Or Approv	∕ed ⊠		
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL	INFORM	ATION S	SUPPOI	RTING N	JD (e.g., n	aragra	ph I – s	ite conditions:	naragranh	s 2-3 –	
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph I – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce											

connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Abraham Ocampo PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 24W410 Lake Street, Roselle, DuPage County, Illinois / Spring Brook Creek	
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-112 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field	
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.	5
Information Reviewed	
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:	of
the U.S., and therefore is isolated and non-jurisdictional.	01
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria 	
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.	
Recommended by: Date:	
Approved by: Date:	
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary	,

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-112												
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Mike Machalek Date: 08 March 07												
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office Y (Y/N) Date: 08 March 07 At the project site (Y/N) Date:												
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:												
State:						Illinois						
County:						DuPage						
Center coordinates o	f site by I	atitnde &	longitud	inal coordir	iates:	41.96513	575015/-88.1	012124985				
Approximate size of	site/prope	erty (inclu	ding upla	ands & in a	cres):	5 Acres						
Name of waterway or	r watersh	ed:				Spring B	rook Creek					
SITE CONDITIONS:												
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown			
Lake												
River												
Stream												
Dry Wash												
Mudflat												
Sandflat												
Wetlands												
Slough												
Prairie pothole												
Wet meadow												
Playa lake												
Vernal pool												
Natural pond												
Other water (identify type)												

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination	
ts or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?				\boxtimes		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?						
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?						
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		\boxtimes				
Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for appl non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.	icability of the	he Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to onsite, non-	jurisdictional, isolated,	

Preliminary Or Approved

Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: A. Patrick Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: SE corner of Western Ave. and Norfolk Ave. in Crete Twp., Will Co., Illinois / Thorn Creek and Deer Creek FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-74 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: STEGER. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: STEGER, 209. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: STEGER, 1990. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: August 1, 2006 Wetland Delineation Report by JFNew Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Both wetlands have hydric soil units leading to creeks, but they have been cut-off by development fill, and the connections would have been intermittent or sub-surface; therefore the subject wetlands are isolated and non-jurisdictional. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: _ Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-									
REGULATORY PROJECT M	MANAGE	R: M	ike Mac	halek	D	ate: 08 March	07		
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATIO	ON COM	PLETE		In the office e project si	e Y (Y/N) te (Y/N	Date: 08 l	March 07	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO State: County: Center coordinates o Approximate size of Name of waterway o SITE CONDITIONS:	f site by I site/prope	atitnde & erty (inclu		dinal coo	rdinates:	Illino Will 41.45 4.6 A	56263721/-87.		
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	c > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake								, cer	
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	\boxtimes								
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
Check appropriate boxes that begurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigabl	e, intra-state	water present	and best estim	ate for size of n	10n-
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:	_			lf K	nown		If Un Use Best Profes	known sional Judame	nt
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	d to Not A	ble To Make ermination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	birds prote	ected by							-
Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines?	other migr	atory birds	that						
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?					\boxtimes		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in	terstate eor	nmerce?							<u>-</u>
Check appropriate boxes that be non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			or applic	ability of t	he Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to onsite,	non-jurisdicti	onal, isolated,
TYPE OF DETERMINATION	TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved								
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL	INFORM	ATION S	UPPOF	RTING N	JD (e.g., pa	ragraph 1 - s	site conditions	; paragraphs	2-3 –
rationale used to determine N.					,				
connections; and paragraph 4									

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Mohan Chiru PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 13205 W. Laraway Road in New Lenox, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1072 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action, (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MANHATTAN, 211. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MANHATTAN, 1990. U. S. Geological Survey 1.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ______ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: _____ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: _ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland located on the subject property is isolated and therefore not jurisdictional. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328 3(a)(1), 328 3(a)(2), or 328 3(a)(4) through 328 3(a)(7).

Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by .

Recommended by: _____ Date: Approved by:

This office does not confirm your wetland boundary

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago											
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1	072										
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Melyssa Navis Date: 8 Mar 2007											
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office Y (Y/N) Date: 8 Mar 2007 At the project site (Y/N) Date:											
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: State: County: Will Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: 41 28' 46. 24 & 87 56' 15.66											
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): Name of waterway or watershed: Hickory Creek SITE CONDITIONS:											
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ас 25-50 а	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown		
Lake			Ш								
River		Ħ	Ħ	Ħ					T		
Stream		T	Ī		T F				Ti		
Dry Wash			İΠ	TH	H	一一	TH		TH -		
Mudflat	Ti Ti	Ħ		$\dashv \exists$	Ħ	Ħ	T iii				
Sandflat	Ī		Ħ	T					T		
Wetlands		X	Ħ				П				
Slough	H	Ħ	Ħ				Π		一		
Prairie pothole	П		m	Ħ	TH	Ħ	T T		TĪ		
Wet meadow	Ħ	Ħ	tH-	Ħ		H H					
Playa lake	Ħ	H	Ħ				— 				
Vernal pool	n	H	TH	H	m				 		
Natural pond	Ħ	Ħ	T			H	 - - 		 		
Other water (identify type)					Ö						
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigable	e, intra-state	water presen	t and best estim	ate for size	of non-		
									_		
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If K	nown		Use Best Profes				
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur		Able To Make etermination		
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	3.				□						
Is or would be used as habitat by cross state lines?	other migr	atory bird	s that								
Is or would be used as habitat for	endangere	d species?				\boxtimes					
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in	terstate co	nmerce?						\boxtimes			
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			for applic	cability of th	he Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to onsite,	non-jurisdi	ctional, isolated,		
TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved											
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 - site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 -											

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Mr. Stanley Zepelak PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 43 Acre Deerpath Road Property in Batavia Township, Kane County, Illinois / Lake Run of Blackberry Creek Watershed FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-65 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⋈ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determinatiou: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: AURORA NORTH. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: AURORA NORTH, HA 70. ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: January 17, 2007 Wetland Delineation Report by Hey and Associates, Inc. Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The two wetland depressions and one farmed wetland are isolated, and therefore non-jurisdictional. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: ☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: __ _ Date: O7 MARC Date: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2007-0									
REGULATORY PROJECT M	1ANAGE	CR: M	ike Ma	chalek	D	ate: 07 March	07		
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER	MINATI	ON COM	PLETE		In the offic project si	e Y (Y/N) te (Y/N)	Date: 07 M	arch 07	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	RMATI	ON:				Illinois			
County:						Kane			
Center coordinates o	f site by l	atitude &	longitu	idinal coor	dinates:	41.819	6943836/-88.31	716825323	
Approximate size of			ding u	plands & i	n acres):	43 Acr			
Name of waterway o	r watersh	ed:				Lake R	un of Blackber	ту Стеек	
SITE CONDITIONS:									
The second second	10.		1 2 5	7.10	10.25	25.50	. 50		
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Unknown feet	
Lake					-				
River				15-					
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough				$\perp \perp$	$\perp \! \! \! \! \! \perp \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \!$				
Prairie pothole		14—		$\perp \perp$					
Wet meadow		 		14		-	14 1		
Playa lake	12	 							
Vernal pool	- H	╀┼							
Natural pond Other water (identify type)	⊢⊢	井井	片片	++					
Other water (identity type)	-								
Check appropriate boxes that bes	t describe	type of isol	lated, no	n-navigabl	e, intra-state	e water present a	Ind best estimate	e for size of non-	
jurisdictional aquatic resource are		V F - 2 - 32	,		5.3cm (3cm)			* *	
Migratory Bird Rule Factors': If Known Use Best Professional Judgment									
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected t Occur	Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?									
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?									
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?									
Is used to irrigate crops sold in int			or anni:	ability of t	he Migrator	y Bird Bula to a	nnly to ensite =	an-jurisdictional isolated	
Check appropriate boxes that bes non-navigable, intra-state aquatic			or appli	Cability 01 f.	ne wiigrator	y Biru Kule to a	ppry to onsite, n	on-jurisaichonai, isolated,	
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

Preliminary ☐ Or Approved ⊠

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Sugar Grove-Bassman FBT LLC, North Star Trust Co. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Kane Count
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1203 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SUGAR GROVE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SUGAR GROVE, HA 227. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):1939, 1996, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: FEMA FIRM Map, NRCS Swampbuster Map
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 consists of wetlands and Duffin Drain, which flows to Welch Creek, Big Rock Creek, and to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore subject to Department of the Army regulations.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soils wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(I), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by: Date: 5-7-2007
Recommended by: Date: $3-7-2007$ Approved by: Date: $3-7-2007$

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Chicago FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1203

REGULATORY PROJECT M	ANAGE	R: K	imberly	Fisher	D	ate: 3/7/2007				
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERN PROJECT LOCATION INFO			PLETE		In the offic e project si	, ,	Date: 3/7/ N) Date:	2007		
State: County: Center coordinates of Approximate size of s Name of waterway or SITE CONDITIONS:	site by la	atitude & erty (inclu				220		1		
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 a	10-25	ac 25-50 a	c > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown	
Lake				TIT				1000		
River	Ħ					T T				
Stream	Ī									
Dry Wash	<u> </u>			Ħ						
Mudflat										
Sandflat			F		一百					
Wetlands		$\overline{\boxtimes}$								
Slough										
Prairie pothole										
Wet meadow										
Playa lake	Ħ	Ħ		一百						
Vernal pool		百一	n							
Natural pond	Ħ-	ī	Ħ	一百	T T		 			
Other water (identify type)										
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes jurisdictional aquatic resource are		type of iso	lated, no	n-navigab	le, intra-stat	e water presen	t and best estim	ate for size of	non-	
Minutes Pin I Poly Production				TEV		If Unknown				
Migratory Bird Rule Factors¹:				If Known				ssional Judgment		
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur	Det	ble To Make ermination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by					\boxtimes					
Migratory Bird Treaties? Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?						\boxtimes				
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?										
1s used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?										
¹ Check appropriate boxes that bes non-navigable, intra-state aquatic	t describe	potential i	for applic	cability of	the Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to onsite,	non-jurisdict	ional, isolated,	
TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved										

OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce

connections; and paragraph 4 - site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT:Steven Mueller Ridge Ave., north of Route 176 and east	PROJECT LOCATIO of Route 14 in Crystal L				at the norht en	nd of
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-1197	PROJECT REVIEW	COMPLETED: 🛛	Office 🖂 I	Field		
Approved Jurisdictional Determination action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information. There are no waters on the There are waters of the Unit There are both waters of	n: project site. Il waters on the project sited States on the project	ite. t site.			d JD is an appo	ealabłe
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination						
☐ There are no jurisdictional wa ☐ The presence of waters which interstate or foreign commerce waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 3 ☐ The presence of interstate wat ☐ The presence of a tributary to ☐ The presence of wetlands adja except for those wetlands adja except for those wetlands adja The presence of an isolated we sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, p ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	are currently used, or we e, including all waters when the control of the control	wetlands ¹). (33 CFR are water of the US. (guous, or neighboring 33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)), rivers, streams (incl	or may be see ebb and for 328.3 (a)(333 CFR 328) to interstition inter	flow of the 2)) 28.3 (a)(5) tate or othermittent s	e tide (i.e., nav)) er waters of th	rigable ne US,
Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife S	ervice National Wetland	Inventory: MCHEN	IRV			
U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey Aerial Photographs (Nam Advanced Identification) Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Sept.	Hydrologic Atlas: MCH as Conservation Service 7.5 Minute Topographic 7.5 Minute Historic Quad 15 Minute Historic Quad e & Date): Wetland Maps: MCHEN 01 Mar 07	ENRY, HA 255. Soil Survey for McH Maps: MCHENRY, drangles: grangles:	enry Coun 1992. : :	ity.		
Rationale for Basis (applies to any bound hillsides, with no outlet to any other wat				depressio	n amongst roll	ing oak
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CF) Ordinary High Water Mark in clear, natural line impresence of litter and changes in the character wetland boundary	dicated by: ssed on the bank debris	destruction of terres shelving other:	strial vege	tation		
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:						
✓ Unable to confirm the presence✓ Area under consideration✓ Area under consideration	is likely to have been jur	isdictional under pre-	-SWANCO	C Migrato	ory Bird Rule c	riteria
☐ Headquarters declined to appro	ve jurisdiction on the ba	sis of 328.3(a)(3) [at	tach copy	of HQ rat	ionale]	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your This office does not confirm you	our wetland boundary	30 II 00 July 100 Jul			•	
Recommended by:	5		_ Date: _	05	MAROT	<u></u>
Approved by:		Da	ate:	CS	MIR	_ご>
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated using occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric from methods described in the Corps Wetlan	soils and wetland hydrolog	y). Processes for determ				
² Wetlands separated from other waters of the adjacent.			berms, beac	ch dunes, a	nd the like are al	lso

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006									
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Mike Machalek Date: 05 Mar 07									
PROJECT REVIEW/DETER			IPLETE			e Y (Y/N) te Y (Y/N)	Date: 05 l Date: 01 Mar	100000	
PROJECT LOCATION INFO	ORMATIC	ON:					2		
State:						Illine			
County:							lenry		
Center coordinates							523047861/-88.	.341016613.	S
Approximate size of			uding up	plands & in	acres):		Acres	or:	
Name of waterway of SITE CONDITIONS:	or watersh	ed:				Sleep	py Hollow Cree	:K	
	2 20	201 0							
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 a	ac > 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands			<u> </u>						
Slough	ᆛᆜ	닏_							
Prairie pothole		Щ_	14						
Wet meadow		<u> </u>	14			-			14
Piaya lake	<u> </u>	11	14_	<u> </u>	$\perp \downarrow \perp$				 -
Vernal pool	14-		14-					<u> </u>	
Natural pond		-	1 -			_ Ц _			
Other water (identify type)	-								
'Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource as		type of iso	olated, no	on-navigable.	, intra-state	water presen	t and best estim	ate for size o	f non-
						-			
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1:	If Known	-	If Unknown Use Best Pr	n rofessional Judgi	ment				
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected Occur		Able To Make etermination
Is or would be used as habitat for Migratory Bird Treaties?	r birds prote	ected by							
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?						\boxtimes			
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?							\boxtimes		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in in					\boxtimes				
Check appropriate boxes that be non-navigable, intra-state aquati			for applic	cability of th	e Migrator	y Bird Rule to	apply to onsite,	non-jurisdic	tional, isolated,
TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved									
OPITIONAL ADDITIONAL	INFORM	ATION	SUPPOI	RTING NJ	D (e.g., pa	ragraph I -	site conditions	; paragrap	hs 2-3 –
rationale used to determine N connections; and paragraph	JD, includ	ling info	mation	reviewed to	o assess po	tential navi			
was a paragraph	. Siccinit	, (IOII	J. 11 41.	J VI the U	occur	6 viisite).			